

KEQ 2	2020 IEA Action Review – Project Approval (MP09_0175)				
No	Requirement	Details of Non-Compliance	2020 KEQ Response / Action	2023 IEA Finding	2023 KEQ Response / Action
S2, C11	The Applicant must pay Council, in accordance with Council's Great Lakes Wide Development Contributions Plan (November 2007) – Amended:  (a) a one-off Headquarters Building contribution of \$1.00 per \$1,000.00 of capital value of the development; and  (b) Annual road maintenance contributions of \$.037 per tonne per km, for every tonne of quarry products transported from the site on local roads in accordance with Council's Great Lakes Wide Development Contributions Plan (November 2007) – Amended. Each payment must be:  i. paid to Council at the end of each calendar year.  ii. Based on weighbridge records of the quantity of quarry products transported from the site; and  iii. Increased annually over the life of the development in accordance with the CPI.  Note: If the parties are not able to agree on any aspect of the road maintenance contributions, either party may refer the matter to the Planning Secretary for resolution.	<ul> <li>(a) Records of one-off contribution to Council for Headquarters Building were not available at the time of audit.</li> <li>(b) Records of annual contributions to Council for road maintenance in the 2019/20 Financial Year were not available at the time of audit.</li> </ul>	KEQ are investigating payments made to MidCoast Council to ensure that all required developer contribution payments have been made. If payments have not been made, KEQ will consult with Council to establish a payment plan.	Deemed as Non-Compliant during the audit.	RESPONSE: KEQ can confirm:  (a) Headquarters building contribution was provided to Council on 11 April 2022.  (b) Road maintenance contributions for FY18 to FY22 was provided to Council on 30 June 2023. This was subject to compensatory cost escalation in accordance with CPI.  FY23 was provided to Council on 03 August 2023 (remittance advice date).  STATUS: KEQ considers this 2020 finding to be resolved.
S3, C11 (d) (ii)	The Applicant must:  (d) Not undertake blasting within 500 metres of:  ii. Any land outside the site not owned by the Applicant, unless:  • The Applicant has a written agreement with the relevant landowner to allow blasting to be carried out closer to the land, and the Applicant has advised the Department in writing of the terms of this agreement, or  • the Applicant has:  ○ Demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Planning Secretary that the blasting can be carried out closer to the land without compromising the safety of the people or livestock on the land, or damaging the buildings and/or structures on the land; and  ○ Updated the Blast Management Plan to include the specific measures that would be implemented while blasting is being carried out within 500 metres of the land, to the satisfaction of the Planning Secretary.	Evidence that written agreements with landholders within 500 m of project blasting was not available at the time of audit.	KEQ have operated in accordance with the approved Blast Management Plan (2015) which identifies that there are no residents/receivers within 500 metres from the potentially nearest blasting areas. Refer to Section 3.4 – Sensitive Receivers of the Karuah East Quarry Blast Management Plan for further details.  KEQ have never received a community complaint regarding blasting undertaken at the Quarry. KEQ will consult with the Department to determine if any action is required.	Deemed as Non-Compliant during the audit.  It is considered that blasting activities during the audit period would have occurred within 500 m of privately owned land without a written agreement.  Sale of the subject property has since taken place and thus there are no further actions required in relation to this. Further detail is available in Appendix A1.	RESPONSE:  KEQ can confirm the property (Lot 4, DP838128) has been acquired.  STATUS:  KEQ considers this 2020 finding to be resolved.
S3, C16	<ul> <li>The Applicant must prepare an Air Quality Management Plan for the development to the satisfaction of the Planning Secretary. This plan must:</li> <li>(a) Be prepared by a suitably qualified expert whose appointment has been approved by the Planning Secretary.</li> <li>(b) Be prepared in consultation with Council and EPA and submitted for approval to the Planning Secretary prior to the commencement of construction activities.</li> <li>(c) Describe the measures that would be implemented to ensure: <ul> <li>Compliance with the relevant air quality conditions of this consent;</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	In June and December of 2017, HVAS monitor filters were not changed at the correct time leading to an overrun of the sample. Filters were changed as soon as errors were identified.	No recommendation made.	Deemed as Non-Compliant during the audit.  Whilst ERM consider KEQ are generally compliant with	RESPONSE: KEQ have since updated our processes to ensure HVAS monitoring is completed. This failure to monitor has not since been repeated.  STATUS: KEQ considers this 2020 finding to be resolved.



KEQ 2	020 IEA Action Review – Project Approval (MP09_0175)				
No	Requirement	Details of Non-Compliance	2020 KEQ Response / Action	2023 IEA Finding	2023 KEQ Response / Action
	<ul> <li>best management practice is employed; and</li> <li>The air quality impacts of the development are minimised during adverse meteorological conditions and extraordinary events.</li> <li>(d) Describe the proposed air quality management system; and</li> <li>(e) Include a monitoring program that: <ul> <li>Can evaluate the performance of the development.</li> <li>Includes a protocol for determining any exceedances of the relevant conditions of consent.</li> <li>Effectively supports the air quality management system; and</li> <li>Evaluates and reports on the adequacy of the air quality management system.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The applicant must implement the plan as approved by the Planning Secretary</li> </ul>			conditions (a) to (e), implementation of the plan requires a period review in accordance with Schedule 5, Condition 5 meaning that the 2019 version of the AQMP is now outdated.	Refer to the 2023 audit response for details regarding the 2023 findings.
\$3, C19	The Applicant must comply with the discharge limits in any EPL, or with Section 120 of the POEO Act.	Uncontrolled and controlled discharges from sediment dams in exceedance of discharge limits occurred during the audit period in 2017, 2018 and 2019.	Responses outlined in S3, C21 below.	Deemed as Non-Compliant during the audit.  Non-compliant discharges were recorded within the audit period. This is discussed in further detail in Condition 19, Schedule 3 of Appendix A1.	RESPONSE: Discharge events were associated with rainfall events greater than the designated design-storm events outlined by the Blue Book (Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils and Construction – Volume 2E, Mines and quarries).  STATUS: KEQ considers this 2020 finding to be resolved.  Refer to the 2023 audit response for details regarding the 2023 findings.
\$3, C21	The Applicant must prepare a Water Management Plan for the development to the satisfaction of the Planning Secretary. This plan must:  (a) Be prepared in consultation with the EPA and DPE Water by suitably qualified and experienced person/s whose appointment has been approved by the Planning Secretary.  (b) Be submitted to the Planning Secretary for approval prior to the commencement of construction activities.  (c) Include:  i. A Site Water Balance that includes details of:  • Sources and security of water supply, including contingencyplanning;  • Water use on site; and  • Measures that would be implemented to minimise use of clean water and maximise recycling of dirty water on the site.  ii. A Surface Water Management Plan, that includes:  • Baseline data on surface water flows and quality in the watercourses that could be affected by the development.  • A detailed description of the surface water management system on the site, including the design objectives and performance criteria for the:  • clean water diversions;  • erosion and sediment controls;  • water storages (including Maximum Harvestable Rights requirements); and  • control of water pollution from areas of the site that have been rehabilitated.	The surface water monitoring does not include a program for the monitoring of stream ecosystem health.  A noncompliance with the WMP was recorded in 2017 due to frequency of Groundwater level monitoring (as reported in Section 7.4.2 of the 2017 Annual Review). Quarterly monitoring commenced in accordance with the required frequency in October 2017; prior to this date monitoring had been undertaken on a sixmonthly basis.	KEQ agree with this recommendation and will consult with the Department during the next review of the WMP.  KEQ will consult with the Department during the next review of the WMP and BOAMP to determine whether additional monitoring is required to monitor the health of local watercourses.  However, let it be noted that local watercourses are monitored and reported on in the annual Biodiversity Offset Area Monitoring Report; this is in line with the approved BOAMP.	Deemed as Non-Compliant during the audit.  There was no evidence to confirm a review has taken place due to exceedances in surface water discharge limits (refer Section 3 Condition 19).	KEQ considers the 2020 findings to be superseded.  Refer to the 2023 audit response for details regarding the 2023 findings.



KEQ 2	020 IEA Action Review – Project Approval (MP09_0175)				
No	Requirement	Details of Non-Compliance	2020 KEQ Response / Action	2023 IEA Finding	2023 KEQ Response / Action
	Surface water impact assessment criteria, to be developed following analysis of baseline data, including trigger levels for investigating any potentially adverse surface water quality impacts.  A program to monitor:  any surface water discharges; the effectiveness of the water management system; surface water flows and quality in local watercourses; and ecosystem health of local watercourses; and An assessment of appropriate options to improve storage and retention times in accordance with Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils and Construction (Landcom);  A Groundwater Monitoring Program that includes: Baseline data of groundwater levels surrounding the site. Groundwater impact assessment criteria, to be developed following analysis of baseline data, including trigger levels for investigating any potentially adverse groundwater impacts; and A program to monitor and/or validate the impacts of the development on groundwater resources; and  A Surface and Ground Water Response Plan that describes the measures and/or procedures that would be implemented to: Respond to any exceedances of the surface water impact assessment criteria and groundwater impact assessment criteria; and Mitigate and/or offset any adverse impacts on surface water and groundwater resources located within and adjacent to the site.  The Applicant must implement the plan as approved by the Planning Secretary	Total System Compilarite		2020 12	
S3, C23	The Applicant must keep accurate records of all laden truck movements to and from the site (including time of arrival and dispatch) and publish a summary of records on its website every 6 months and in the Annual Review.	<ul> <li>(a) Production records are reported in the audit period Annual Reviews, however the transportation of product from site per calendar month and the number of laden truck movements is not reported.</li> <li>(b) Viewed KEQ website on 17/8/20 and quarterly truck movement records were not available.</li> </ul>	KEQ agree with this recommendation. Future environmental monitoring reports will include information on the factors identified in mentioned conditions.	Deemed as Non-Compliant during the audit.  Laden truck movements are not recorded within the KEQ Annual Reviews.	KEQ considers the 2020 findings to be superseded.  Refer to the 2023 audit response for details regarding the 2023 findings.
S3, C28	The Applicant must, prior to the commencement of vegetation clearing activities for Modification 10, finalise the Biodiversity Offset Strategy, as described in documents listed in condition 2 of Schedule 2, summarised in Table 10 and Table 11 and shown conceptually in Figure 1 of Appendix 4, in consultation with BCD and Council, and to the satisfaction of the Planning Secretary.	The Biodiversity Offset Strategy Finalisation letter (as referenced in Section 2.2 and Appendix 2 in the BOAMP) and evidence of consultation with OEH (now BCD) and Council was not available for review at the time of audit.	Officers of the Biodiversity Conservation Trust are attending site in November to assess the Biodiversity Area and consult on the progress of the Biodiversity Offset Strategy. KEQ will consult with the Department and seek	Deemed as Non-Compliant during the audit.  The Biodiversity Offset Strategy should have been updated within 3 months of any	The 2020 audit finding was prior to MOD10 being assessed and approved. Therefore, the resulting actions are no-longer current due to the revised condition.  KEQ considers the 2020 findings to be superseded.  Refer to the 2023 audit response for details regarding the 2023 findings.



KEQ 2	020 IEA Action Review – Project Approval (MP09_0175)				
No	Requirement	Details of Non-Compliance	2020 KEQ Response / Action	2023 IEA Finding	2023 KEQ Response / Action
			extension to the timeframe	modification to	
			required if required.	the conditions of	
				this consent. It is	
				noted that latest	
				version of the	
				BOS is dated July	
				2013.	
	Within 6 months of the date of approval of Modification 1, the Applicant must prepare a	The revisions detailed in	KEQ agree with the	Deemed as Non-	KEQ considers the 2020 findings to be
	Landscape and Rehabilitation Management Plan for the development to the satisfaction of the	Table 2 of the Landscape	recommendation made by	Compliant during	superseded.
	Planning Secretary. This Plan would relate to the area of the quarry and all perimeter lands. This	and Rehabilitation	the auditor and will conduct	the audit.	
	plan must:	Management Plan (LRMP)	a revision of the LRMP in the		Refer to the 2023 audit response for details
	(a) Be prepared by a suitably qualified expert whose appointment has been approved by the	do not describe updating	near future. The revisions	The LRMP has not	regarding the 2023 findings.
	Planning Secretary.	the measures to	describe here will be made.	been updated	
	(b) Be prepared in consultation with BCD and Council, and submitted to the Planning Secretary	implemented over the	During the revision of the	within a 3 year	
	for approval prior to the commencement of construction activities;	three-year period.	LRMP, KEQ will ensure all	period as	
	(c) Describe how the implementation of the Tetratheca juncea Translocation Program would be	The second state of the se	procedures to record, and	required by	
	integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site;	The condition requires	report are examined and	clause (f) of this	
	(d) Describe the short, medium and long-term measures that would be implemented to:	update every three years	responsible	condition.	
	Manage remnant vegetation and habitat on the site; and	following initial	employees are made aware	Further details	
	Ensure compliance with the rehabilitation objectives and progressive rehabilitation	preparation of the plan, in	of their obligations.	are discussed in	
	obligations of this consent.	this case being November		Appendix A1.	
	(e) include detailed performance and completion criteria for evaluating the performance of the	2018.			
	rehabilitation of the site, including triggers for any remedial action;	Charters & O of the LDMD			
	(f) Include a detailed description of the measures that would be implemented over the next 3	Chapters 6-9 of the LRMP			
	years (to be updated for each 3 year period following initial preparation of the plan), including	detail management			
	the procedures to be implemented for:	measures, however the			
	Ensuring compliance with the rehabilitation objectives and progressive rehabilitation	plan does not specify which activities will occur			
S3,	obligations of this consent;	over the next three years			
C32	Enhancing the quality of remnant vegetation and fauna habitat;  Output  Description:	of the plan.			
	Restoring native endemic vegetation and fauna habitat within the rehabilitation area,	or the plan.			
	including details of the target revegetation communities of the rehabilitated landform;				
	Coordinating the relocation of native fauna to protected habitats associated with				
	preclearing fauna surveys;				
	Maximising the salvage of environmental resources within the approved disturbance area				
	- including tree hollows, vegetative and soil resources - for beneficial reuse in the				
	enhancement of the rehabilitation area;				
	Collecting and propagating seed;				
	<ul> <li>Ensuring minimal environmental consequences for threatened species, populations and habitats;</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Minimising the impacts on native fauna on site, including the details and implementation</li> </ul>				
	of appropriate pre-clearance surveys;				
	<ul> <li>Minimising the impacts on fauna movement between undisturbed areas of the site and</li> </ul>				
	nearby vegetation (including potential fauna crossings);				
	<ul> <li>Controlling weeds and feral pests;</li> </ul>				
	Controlling erosion;				
	Controlling access and providing for management trails; and				
	<ul> <li>Bushfire management and implementation of ecologically appropriate bushfire intervals.</li> </ul>				
	(i) Include a program to monitor the effectiveness of these measures, and progress against the				
	performance and completion criteria.				



KEQ	EQ 2020 IEA Action Review – Project Approval (MP09_0175)								
No	Requirement	Details of Non-Compliance	2020 KEQ Response / Action	2023 IEA Finding	2023 KEQ Response / Action				
	<ul> <li>(j) Identify the potential risks to successful implementation of the Tetratheca juncea translocation Program and rehabilitation of the site, and include a description of the contingency measures that would be implemented to mitigate these risks.</li> <li>(k) Include details as to how the rehabilitated land would be permanently conserved and managed as part of the broader Biodiversity Offset Area approved in these conditions.</li> <li>(l) Include details of who would be responsible for monitoring, reviewing, and implementing the</li> </ul>								
	plan; and								
	(m) Include details as to the timing of actions set out in the plan								
	The Applicant must implement the plan as approved by the Planning Secretary.								
S3, C33	The Applicant must prepare a Biodiversity Offset Area Management Plan for the development to the satisfaction of the Planning Secretary. This Plan would relate to the area of the Biodiversity Offset Area required in these conditions. This plan must:  (a) Be prepared by a suitably qualified expert whose appointment has been approved by the Planning Secretary  (b) Be prepared in consultation with BCD and Council  (c) Describe how the implementation of the Tetratheca juncea Translocation Program would be integrated with the Biodiversity Offset Area management.  (d) Describe the short, medium and long-term measures that would be implemented to manage remnant vegetation and habitat on the Biodiversity Offset Area  (e) Include detailed performance and completion criteria for evaluating the performance of the conservation, restoration and management of the Biodiversity Offset Area, including triggers for any remedial action.  (f) Providing for the transfer of environmental resources from the approved disturbance area including tree hollows, vegetative and soil resources - for beneficial reuse in the enhancement of the Biodiversity Offset Area.  (g) Providing for the incorporation of the final rehabilitated landform into the Biodiversity Offset Area and its management.  (h) Include a detailed description of the measures that would be implemented over the next 3 years (to be updated for each 3-year period following initial preparation of the plan), including the procedures to be implemented for:  • Enhancing the quality of remnant vegetation and fauna habitat  • Restoring native endemic vegetation and fauna habitat within the parts of the Biodiversity Offset Area that are cleared or modified, including details of the target revegetation communities of the restored landform.	Chapter 3 of the BOAMP details management measures, and some timing details are provided in Chapter 4; however, the plan does not specify which activities will occur over the next three years of the plan. Cumberland Ecology recommends that the BOAMP be updated to include a three year management schedule for the period November 2018 – November 2021.	KEQ agree with this recommendation by the auditor. KEQ have already engaged Kleinfelder to revise the BOAMP to reflect changes to the Project Approval. While this revision is taking place, KEQPL will request Kleinfelder to make these recommended changes.	Deemed as Non-Compliant during the audit.  A number of outstanding actions recommended within the latest Ecological Monitoring Report (included within the 2022 Annual Review) have not been closed out and constitutes a non-compliance against clause (h) of this condition. Further details are discussed in Appendix A1.	RESPONSE: The BOAMP was updated in May 2021.  STATUS: KEQ considers the 2020 findings to be resolved. Refer to the 2023 audit response for details regarding the 2023 findings.				
	<ul> <li>Coordinating the relocation of native fauna to protected habitats associated with preclearing fauna surveys.</li> <li>Collecting and propagating seed</li> <li>Maximising the protection and restoration of threatened species, populations and habitats in the Biodiversity Offset Area</li> <li>Maximising fauna movement between the Biodiversity Offset Area and adjacent habitats.</li> <li>Controlling weeds and feral pests</li> <li>Controlling access and providing for management trails; and</li> <li>Bushfire management and implementation of ecologically appropriate bushfire intervals.</li> <li>(i) Include a program to monitor the effectiveness of these measures, and progress against the performance and completion criteria.</li> <li>(j) Identify the potential risks to successful implementation of the Biodiversity Offset program and include a description of the contingency measures that would be implemented to mitigate these risks.</li> </ul>								



	020 IEA Action Review – Project Approval (MP09_0175)	Dotails of Non Compliant	2020 KEO Bostones / Astin	2022 IEA Findin	2022 KEO Bassassa / Astissa
0	Requirement  (k) Include details of who would be responsible for monitoring, reviewing, and implementing the	Details of Non-Compliance	2020 KEQ Response / Action	2023 IEA Finding	2023 KEQ Response / Action
	plan.				
	(I) Include details of the indicative costs of management actions; and				
	Include details as to the timing of actions set out in the plan.				
	The Applicant must assess and manage development-related risks to ensure that there are no	Evidence was not available	KEQ are in the process of	Deemed as Non-	RESPONSE:
	exceedances of the criteria and/or performance measures in this consent. Any exceedance of	at the time of	reviewing and where	Compliant during	All exceedances of criteria are now reported
	these criteria and/or performance measures constitutes a breach of this consent and may be	audit to confirm that	necessary revising the	the audit.	the Department and NSW EPA as required.
	subject to penalty or offence provisions under the EP&A Act or EP&A Regulation. Where any	reports were provided to	PIRMP. During this process		
	exceedance of these criteria and/or performance measures has occurred, the Applicant must, at	DPIE which reviewed	KEQ will review all	During the audit	STATUS:
	the earliest opportunity:	control measures and	communication and	period, there	KEQ considers the 2020 findings to be resolv
	(a) Take all reasonable and feasible measures to ensure that the exceedance ceases and does not	remedial actions required	notification procedures for	have been	
	recur.	following identified	reporting of pollution	multiple	Refer to the 2023 audit response for details
	(b) Consider all reasonable and feasible options for remediation (where relevant) and submit a	exceedances.	exceedances to ARAs.	exceedances of the surface water	regarding the 2023 findings.
	report to the Department describing those options and any preferred remediation measures or other course of action; and			discharge limits,	
	(c) Implement remediation measures as directed by the Planning Secretary.			however it is not	
	(c) implement remediation measures as uncered by the Hamming Secretary.			clear to the	
				auditors whether	
				feasible measures	
				(including a	
				review of	
				appropriate	
				Management	
				Plans) have been	
				taken to ensure	
				the exceedance	
	Within 3 months of:	(a – d) No evidence was	KEQ agree with this	ceases.  Deemed as Non-	KEQ considers the 2020 findings to be
	(a) The submission of an annual review under Condition 4 above.	available at the time of	recommendation. A	Compliant during	superseded.
	(b) The submission of an incident report under Condition 7 below.	audit to confirm that KEQ	controlled templates will be	the audit.	
	(c) The submission of an audit report under Condition 9 below; or	had reviewed strategies,	prepared for use when		Refer to the 2023 audit response for details
	(d) Any modification to the conditions of this consent, (unless the conditions require otherwise),	plans and programs	reviewing documents (such	Multiple plans	regarding the 2023 findings.
	the Applicant must review the strategies, plans, and programs required under this consent, to the	required under the	as procedures or	and programs	
	satisfaction of the Planning Secretary. Where this review leads to revisions in any such document,	approval following audit	management plans).	required under	
	then within 4 weeks of the review the revised document must be submitted for the approval of	period Annual Reviews,		this consent have	
	the Planning Secretary.	incidents, audit reports		not been	
	Note: The purpose of this condition is to ensure that strategies, plans and programs are regularly	and modifications.		reviewed within	
	updated to incorporate any measures recommended to improve environmental performance of			the requirements	
	the development.			of this condition.	
				This is discussed in further detail	
				in Appendix A1.	
	020 IEA Action Review – Project Approval Statement of Commitments			iii Appendix A1.	

The Statement of Commitments have been removed from the Project Approval following MOD10. Therefore, no further response or action from KEQ is required.



KEQ 2	020 IEA Action Review – Environment Protection Licence (EPL 20611)				
No	Requirement	Details of Non-Compliance	2020 KEQ Response / Action	2023 IEA Finding	2023 KEQ Response / Action
	L1.1 – Except as may be expressly provided in any other condition of this licence, the licensee	Some discharges during	No recommendation made.	Deemed as Non-Compliant	No actions required.
	must comply with section 120 of the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997.	the audit period exceeded		during the audit.	
		the concentration limits			
	L2.1 – For each monitoring/discharge point or utilisation area specified in the table/s below (by a	prescribed by Condition		There have been reoccurrences	
	point number), the concentration of a pollutant discharged at that point, or applied to that area,	L2.4, thereby		of exceedances of surface water	
	must not exceed the concentration limits specified for that pollutant in the table.	contravening Section 120		discharge concentration limits	
		of the POEO Act (see		within this audit period. Further	
	L2.2 – Where a pH quality limit is specified in the table, the specified percentage of samples must	Condition L2.1 below).		details available in Appendix A2	
	be within the specified ranges.			–EPL Table.	
		Uncontrolled and			
		controlled discharges from			
		sediment dams in			
		exceedance of EPL			
		discharge limits occurred			
		during the audit period in			
L1.1,		2017, 2018 and 2019. KEQ			
L2.1,		self-reported the			
L2.2		June 2019 discharge			
		exceedances to EPA on			
		26/06/19 and EPA			
		provided an associated			
		'Show Cause Notice' on			
		27/06/19. KEQ provided a			
		response to the Show			
		Cause/notice on			
		02/08/19. EPA issued a			
		Penalty Infringement Notice for the 24 June			
		2019 discharge and			
		Formal Warning regarding			
		the other discharge events			
		of 24 – 27 June 2019 via			
		letter dated 15/08/19.			
	All acoustic bunds necessary to achieve compliance with the noise limits specified in this licence	Acoustic bunding for site	No recommendation made.	Deemed as Non-Compliant	No actions required.
	must be constructed prior to the commencement of quarrying activities and be maintained	infrastructure identified in	la l	during the audit.	l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l
	throughout the operational life of the premises to the height and location described in the Noise	the EA (ADW Johnson,			
	Management Plan.	2013) was not constructed		Acoustic bunding not installed	
	<del> </del>	prior to the		during audit period. The	
07.1		commencement of		condition though was varied	
		quarrying operations. See		during the audit period which	
		Schedule 3, Condition 7 of		removed the condition as	
		PA 09_0175.		another control was implement.	
		_		Further detail is available in	
				Appendix A2 – EPL Table.	



KEQ :	2023 IEA Findings and KEQ Response – Project Approval (MP09_0175)		
No	Requirement	2023 IEA Assessment & Recommendation	2023 KEQ Response / Action
\$2, C11	The Applicant must pay Council, in accordance with Council's Great Lakes Wide Development Contributions Plan (November 2007) – Amended:  (a) a one-off Headquarters Building contribution of \$1.00 per \$1,000.00 of capital value of the development; and  (b) Annual road maintenance contributions of \$.037 per tonne per km, for every tonne of quarry products transported from the site on local roads in accordance with Council's Great Lakes Wide Development Contributions Plan (November 2007) – Amended.  Each payment must be:  i. paid to Council at the end of each calendar year.  ii. Based on weighbridge records of the quantity of quarry products transported from the site; and  iii. Increased annually over the life of the development in accordance with the CPI.  Note: If the parties are not able to agree on any aspect of the road maintenance contributions, either party may refer the matter to the Planning Secretary for resolution.	Assessment:  (a) An invoice for payment was issued by MidCoast Council to "Branch Land Pty Ltd" in relation to Application No. DA-09-0175 on 11 April 2022 for a value of \$5,000. Remittance Advice for the same amount with the matching supplier invoice reference number (20221212) was provided from MidCoast Council on 10 June 2022. ERM has not been provided with evidence to determine how this contribution amount was calculated and thus cannot verify that compliance with this condition has been met.  (b) Road Maintenance Contributions were provided in one invoice to MidCoast Council, with remittance advice prepared on 30 June 2023 for the following amounts:  FY2018: \$3,438.77;  FY2019: \$14,876.66;  FY2020: \$112,797.25;  FY2021: \$65,794.58; and  FY2022: \$130,498.26.  Recommendation:  It is recommended that KEQ provide further evidence (e.g. a cost calculation and/or evidence of Council acceptance) that the payments made:  Correctly represent the value of the Headquarters Building contribution; and  Are based on weighbridge records and increased annually over the life of the development in accordance with the CPI.  Should any contribution shortfalls be identified, it is recommended that KEQ make an additional payment to cover this amount as soon as practicable.	RESPONSE:  KEQ can confirm:  (a) Headquarters building contribution was provided to Council based on the capital investment value of the project. No concerns have been raised by Council.  (b) Road maintenance contributions for FY18 to FY22 was provided to Council on 30 June 2023. This was subject to compensatory cost escalation in accordance with CPI as per the intent of the Condition.  The CPI increases were completed in accordance with the methodology provided by the former Great Lakes Council on 13 April 2010 in relation to affiliated quarry, Karuah Hard Rock Quarry (DA 265-10-2004). Given the close relationship between the two quarry sites, KEQ considers it appropriate to apply the same methodology.  On 30 June 2023, Council advised the matter had been provided to the Manager Finance for review. No further correspondence has been received from Council regarding this matter.  FY23 was provided to Council on 03 August 2023 (remittance advice date). Council acknowledged receipt of the payment on 28 August 2023. No concerns have been raised by Council regarding the provision of Developer Contributions from the Karuah East Quarry.  STATUS: KEQ considers this 2023 finding to be resolved.
S3, C11 (d) (ii)	<ul> <li>The Applicant must: <ul> <li>(d) Not undertake blasting within 500 metres of:</li> <li>ii. Any land outside the site not owned by the Applicant, unless:</li> <li>The Applicant has a written agreement with the relevant landowner to allow blasting to be carried out closer to the land, and the Applicant has advised the Department in writing of the terms of this agreement, or</li> <li>the Applicant has: <ul> <li>Demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Planning Secretary that the blasting can be carried out closer to the land without compromising the safety of the people or livestock on the land, or damaging the buildings and/or structures on the land; and</li> <li>Updated the Blast Management Plan to include the specific measures that would be implemented while blasting is being carried out within 500 metres of the land, to the satisfaction of the Planning Secretary.</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>	Assessment:  ERM review the Blast Management Plan (BMP) prepared by SLR  Consulting Ltd in May 2019. The following potential non-compliance in the BMP was observed:  (d) (ii) A property is situated approximately 340 m north-north-west to the closest perimeter of the quarry. It is understood that the property is unoccupied, however the land is privately owned. As a result, it is considered that blasting activities during the audit period would have occurred within 500m of privately owned land without a written agreement or as to the satisfaction of the Planning Secretary.  Recommendation:  It was confirmed that the sale of the property within 500 m of the KEQ quarry pit took place. Therefore, no further actions are required in relation to this non-compliance.	RESPONSE: KEQ can confirm the property (Lot 4, DP838128) has been acquired.  STATUS: KEQ considers this 2023 finding to be resolved.



KEQ 2	023 IEA Findings and KEQ Re	esponse – Projec	t Approval (MP09_0	175)		
No		<u> </u>	irement		2023 IEA Assessment & Recommendation	2023 KEQ Response / Action
S3, C12	The Applicant must prepare a Blast Management Plan for the development to the satisfaction of the Planning Secretary. This plan must:  (a) Be prepared by a suitably qualified expert whose appointment has been approved by the Planning Secretary;  (b) Be prepared in consultation with Council and EPA, and submitted to the Planning Secretary for approval prior to the commencement of construction activities.  (c) Describe the measures that would be implemented to ensure:  • best management practice is being employed; and  • compliance with the relevant conditions of this consent;  (d) Include a road closure protocol if blasting occurs within 500 metres of a public road (e) Include a specific blast fume management protocol, to demonstrate how emissions will be minimised including risk management strategies if blast fumes are generated; and		Assessment:  ERM reviewed the Blast Management Plan (BMP) prepared by SLR Consulting Ltd in May 2019. The following potential non-compliance in the BMP was observed:  (b) The BMP includes evidence of consultation with the EPA and Council and DPE approval occurring in 2015. There is no evidence of consultation provided for the May 2019 update.  (c) Best management and control measures are discussed in section 6 of the BMP including operating conditions, blast design, public safety, road closure management, monitoring of meteorological conditions, avoidance of concurrent blasts with nearby quarrying operations, and consultation with neighbouring residences.  For most conditions of this consent, the BMP describes measures to be implemented to ensure compliance is met, however in relation to condition 11 (d), the BMP does not describe the details	ACTION:  KEQ to complete a comprehensive review of the Blast Management Plan and submit the revised document to the Planning Secretary for approval.  DUE:  28 May 2024 in accordance with Schedule 5, Condition 5(c).  STATUS: Underway – draft revision of the management plan has been completed by IEMA. Further revisions are to be assessed in accordance with these recommendations.		
				dance and mitigation nerated by the	of measures implemented to ensure a blast is not carried out within 500m of land outside the site not owned by the Applicant.  Recommendation: The BMP is recommended to be updated to account for control measures carried out in order to meet compliance with Condition 11 d) ii and approved by the Planning Secretary. The BMP is recommended to be updated in consultation with the Council and EPA and be submitted to the Planning Secretary.  Assessment: Annual reviews report the measured particulate matter (PM) and depositional dust (DD) results against the approved criteria of this condition. The Short-term impact assessment criteria for particulate	ACTION:  KEQ to include this recommendation into the comprehensive review of the Air Quality Management Plan.
	Table 7: Long-term impact assessn	L.		<sup>d</sup> Criterion	matter was exceeded on one occasion during the audit period and	Refer to the response under Schedule 3, Condition 16 for further
	Pollutant  Total suspended particulate		Averaging period Annual	a 90 µg/m³	there were two "failure to monitor" events which ERM consider having been appropriately responded to. Additional detail relating to	details.
	Particulate matter < 10 µm		Annual	а 30 µg/m³	compliance with this CoC is provided in Appendix A1.	
	Table 8: Short-term impact assessn		culate matter			
	Pollutant		Averaging period	<sup>d</sup> Criterion	Recommendation:  It is recommended to continue the monitoring per the AQMP as only	
S3, C13	Particulate matter < 10 µm		24 hour	a 50 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	one low-range exceedance was recorded during the audit period.	
	Table 9: Long-term Impact Assessn	ment Criteria for Depo	osited Dust		Should additional exceedances reoccur, examine additional mitigation measures in conjunction with a review of the AQMP.	
	Pollutant  Averaging in deposited dust deposited dust level  Averaging in deposited dust deposited dust level					
	<sup>c</sup> Deposited dust	Annual	<sup>b</sup> 2 g/m <sup>2</sup> /month	a 4 g/m <sup>2</sup> /month		
	Doposited dust Aimain 2 g/m /month					



KEQ 2	2023 IEA Findings and KEQ Response – Project Approval (MP09_0175)		
No	Requirement	2023 IEA Assessment & Recommendation	2023 KEQ Response / Action
S3, C16	<ul> <li>The Applicant must prepare an Air Quality Management Plan for the development to the satisfaction of the Planning Secretary. This plan must: <ul> <li>(a) Be prepared by a suitably qualified expert whose appointment has been approved by the Planning Secretary.</li> <li>(b) Be prepared in consultation with Council and EPA and submitted for approval to the Planning Secretary prior to the commencement of construction activities.</li> <li>(c) Describe the measures that would be implemented to ensure: <ul> <li>Compliance with the relevant air quality conditions of this consent;</li> <li>best management practice is employed; and</li> <li>The air quality impacts of the development are minimised during adverse meteorological conditions and extraordinary events.</li> </ul> </li> <li>(d) Describe the proposed air quality management system; and</li> <li>Include a monitoring program that: <ul> <li>Can evaluate the performance of the development.</li> <li>Includes a protocol for determining any exceedances of the relevant conditions of consent.</li> <li>Effectively supports the air quality management system; and</li> <li>Evaluates and reports on the adequacy of the air quality management system.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The applicant must implement the plan as approved by the Planning Secretary</li> </ul></li></ul>	Assessment: The AQMP was most recently updated in May 2019 by SLR Consulting. Whilst ERM consider KEQ are generally compliant with conditions (a) to (e), implementation of the plan requires a period review in accordance with Schedule 5 Condition 5 meaning that the 2019 version of the AQMP is now outdated. Additional detail relating to compliance with this CoC is provided in Appendix A1.  Recommendation: Refer to recommendation under Schedule 5 Condition 4 in relation to updates of strategies, plans and programs required under this consent.	ACTION: KEQ to complete a comprehensive review of the Air Quality Management Plan and submit the revised document to the Planning Secretary for approval.  DUE: 28 May 2024 in accordance with Schedule 5, Condition 5(c).  STATUS: Underway – draft revision of the management plan has been completed by IEMA. A further revision is to be assessed in accordance with these recommendations.
S3, C19	The Applicant must comply with the discharge limits in any EPL, or with Section 120 of the POEO Act.	Assessment: There are three Licenced Discharge Points (LDP) associated with the site. This includes LDP001 (Dam 1), LDP002 (Dam 2) and LDP003 (Dam 3). Discharge results are recorded within the KEQ Discharge Register. Heavy rainfall, particularly in 2021 and 2022 resulted in multiple uncontrolled and non-compliant discharges during the audit period. A review of the WMP has not been carried out as required following the multiple exceedances of the discharge limits. Additional detail relating to compliance with this CoC is provided in Appendix A1.  Recommendation: Conduct a review the effectiveness of the WMP and TARP to ensure that the response plans can be effectively implemented to prevent exceedances of relevant water quality assessment criteria and ensure sufficient capacity is available in dams.  The review should consider the multiple surface water discharge exceedances which took place in 2020, 2021 and 2022 and the effectiveness of associated response procedures. The review should be conducted by a suitable qualified specialist and recommendations should be reflected within an update to the WMP.	ACTION: KEQ to include these two recommendations into the comprehensive review of the Water Management Plan.  Refer to the response under Schedule 3, Condition 21 for further details.
S3, C21	The Applicant must prepare a Water Management Plan for the development to the satisfaction of the Planning Secretary. This plan must:  (a) Be prepared in consultation with the EPA and DPE Water by suitably qualified and experienced person/s whose appointment has been approved by the Planning Secretary.  (b) Be submitted to the Planning Secretary for approval prior to the commencement of construction activities.  (c) Include:  i. A Site Water Balance that includes details of:  • Sources and security of water supply, including contingencyplanning;	Assessment: The KEQ Water Management Plan (WMP) was prepared by SLR Consulting Ltd and most recently updated in May 2019. There is no evidence to confirm a review has taken place due to exceedances in surface water discharge limits (refer Section 3 Condition 19). Additional detail relating to compliance with this CoC is provided in Appendix A1.	ACTION: KEQ to complete a comprehensive review of the Water Management Plan and submit the revised document to the Planning Secretary for approval.  DUE: 28 May 2024 in accordance with Schedule 5, Condition 5(c).



No	023 IEA Findings and KEQ Response – Project Approval (MP09_0175)  Requirement	2023 IEA Assessment & Recommendation	2023 KEQ Response / Action
NO	,	Recommendation:	STATUS:
	<ul> <li>Measures that would be implemented to minimise use of clean water and maximise recycling of dirty water on the site.</li> <li>ii. A Surface Water Management Plan, that includes:</li> <li>Baseline data on surface water flows and quality in the watercourses that</li> </ul>	The following recommendations are made in relation the WMP:  Updates to the WMP should include details of a contingency plan;  It is recommended that KEQ implement a framework to log all	Underway – IEMA has been engaged to draft a revision of the management plan. These water-themed recommendations will be incorporated.
		steps and actions to be taken in accordance with the TARP, in particular when a 'Condition Amber' or 'Condition Red' Trigger Response is enacted.  WMP updates are to be undertaken in consultation with the EPA and DPE Water and be submitted to the Planning Secretary.  Additional recommendations which are applicable to this	
		condition are made in relation to Adaptive Management (refer Schedule 5 Condition 2).	
	<ul> <li>iii. A Groundwater Monitoring Program that includes:         <ul> <li>Baseline data of groundwater levels surrounding the site.</li> <li>Groundwater impact assessment criteria, to be developed following analysis of baseline data, including trigger levels for investigating any potentially adverse groundwater impacts; and</li> <li>A program to monitor and/or validate the impacts of the development on groundwater resources; and</li> </ul> </li> <li>iv. A Surface and Ground Water Response Plan that describes the measures</li> </ul>		
	and/or procedures that would be implemented to:  • Respond to any exceedances of the surface water impact assessment criteria and groundwater impact assessment criteria; and  • Mitigate and/or offset any adverse impacts on surface water and groundwater resources located within and adjacent to the site.  The Applicant must implement the plan as approved by the Planning Secretary		
\$3, C23	The Applicant must keep accurate records of all laden truck movements to and from the site (including time of arrival and dispatch) and publish a summary of records on its website every 6 months and in the Annual Review.	Assessment:  Monitoring of product transport is recorded and uploaded to Hunter Quarry's website under Karuah East Quarry. The monitoring data includes hourly truck movements for every day of each calendar year. Laden truck movements are not recorded within the KEQ Annual Reviews.	ACTION: KEQ to include laden truck movements in the Annual Reviews for all future submissions.  DUE: 31 March 2024 in accordance with Schedule 5, Condition 4.
	ī	Recommendation: It is recommended to publish a summary of laden truck movements to and from site in future Annual Reviews.	STATUS: Completed – Product transport report has been included in the 2023 Annual Review document template.



KEQ 2	2023 IEA Findings and R	KEQ Response – Project Approval (N	1P09_0175)		
No		Requirement		2023 IEA Assessment & Recommendation	2023 KEQ Response / Action
	The Applicant must, prior to the commencement of vegetation clearing activities for Modification 10, finalise the Biodiversity Offset Strategy, as described in documents listed in condition 2 of Schedule 2, summarised in Table 10 and Table 11 and shown conceptually in Figure 1 of Appendix 4, in consultation with BCD and Council, and to the satisfaction of the Planning Secretary.  Table 10: Biodiversity Offset Strategy – land-based offsets  Area  Offset Type  Minimum Size (ha)  Offset Area  Existing vegetation to be managed and 130.36 ha			Assessment: As Table 10 pre-existed Modification 10, in accordance with Condition 5 of Schedule 5, the Biodiversity Offset Strategy should have been updated within 3 months of any modification to the conditions of this consent. It is noted that latest version of the BOS is dated July 2013.  At the time of writing, as confirmed by Site Management, the updated Biodiversity Offset Strategy is pending Commonwealth EPBC Approval.	ACTION:  KEQ to complete a comprehensive review of the Biodiversity Offset Strategy and submit the revised document to the Planning Secretary for approval.  DUE:  TBD – pending the receipt of Commonwealth Approval for MOD10 (EPBC 2022 – 9164) under the Commonwealth EPBC Act
		enhanced		It was confirmed by Site Management and observed by the auditors	1999.
	Credit Type Ecosystem Credits	ategy – ecosystem and species credit requirements	Credits Required	during the site visit that no vegetation clearing activities have taken place for Modification 10 and thus an assessment of compliance against Table 11 of this condition is Not Triggered.	STATUS: Table 10 − Underway:  KEQ is continuing to engage with NSW Planning
S3, C28	PCT 1619: Smooth-barked Apple – Red Bloodwood – Brown Stringybark – Hairpin Banksia heathy open forest of coastal lowlands  PCT 695: Blackbutt – Turpentine – Tallowwood shrubby open forest of the coastal foothills of the central NSW North Coast Bioregion  Total  Species Credits		Recommendation:  Consultation with the BCD and Council in relation to this condition is recommended to be published in the latest Biodiversity Offset Strategy and uploaded to the Hunter Quarries website.	regarding the mechanism used for the land-based offset.  Table 11 (Ecosystem Credits) – Underway:  PCT1619 have been secured.  PCT695 have been secured.	
	Tetratheca juncea (Black-eyed Susan)  Grevillea parviflora subsp. parviflora (Small-flower Grevillea)  Squirrel Glider (Petaurus norfolcensis)  Southern Myotis (Myotis macropus)  107		250	Review and update the BOS when the consent is modified.	<ul> <li>Table 11 (Species Credits) – Underway:</li> <li>Black-eyed Susan – in-principle sale agreement for 25x credits has been reached. KEQ are continuing to review credit purchase options for the outstanding credits.</li> <li>Small-flower Grevillea – KEQ are continuing to review</li> </ul>
	Notes:  1. The Biodiversity Offset Strategy must direct that the land proposed as the Offset Area must be free of any dwelling-houses and associated sheds, bushfire asset protection zones and other related utilities or structures so as to preserve the integrity and function of that offset area. The Biodiversity Offset Strategy must also provide details of the revegetation of any parts of the offset area that are cleared of native vegetation or are in an otherwise substantially modified state, other than required management trails and boundary fencing buffer distances.				<ul> <li>credit purchase options.</li> <li>Squirrel Glider – purchase agreement has been executed with the Credit Supply Taskforce.</li> <li>Southern Myotis – KEQ are continuing to review credit purchase options.</li> </ul>
\$3, C32	would be integrated with the overall renabilitation of the site.		Appendix A1.  Recommendation: Ensure the LRMP is updated every 3 years and that implementation of measures detailed in the plan have been reviewed and updated where required.  Scheduled reminders should be implemented to ensure that future updates of the LRMP are undertaken within the required 3 year period. Where required, update associated monitoring programs to ensure the effectiveness of the procedures can be effectively measured.	ACTION:  KEQ to complete a comprehensive review of the Landscape and Rehabilitation Management Plan and submit the revised document to the Planning Secretary for approval.  DUE:  28 May 2024 in accordance with Schedule 5, Condition 5(c).  NOTE – this may be delayed, subject to the receipt of Commonwealth Approval for MOD10 (EPBC 2022 – 9164) under the Commonwealth EPBC Act 1999.  STATUS:  Underway – The rehabilitation component of the management plan is complete; and the landscape component is currently being reviewed by KEQ's ecologist. However, this may be delayed, subject to Commonwealth approval.	



KEQ 2	KEQ 2023 IEA Findings and KEQ Response – Project Approval (MP09_0175)					
No	Requirement	2023 IEA Assessment & Recommendation	2023 KEQ Response / Action			
		2023 IEA Assessment & Recommendation	2023 KEQ Response / Action			
	<ul> <li>(k) Include details as to how the rehabilitated land would be permanently conserved and managed as part of the broader Biodiversity Offset Area approved in these conditions.</li> <li>(l) Include details of who would be responsible for monitoring, reviewing, and implementing the plan; and</li> <li>(m) Include details as to the timing of actions set out in the plan</li> <li>The Applicant must implement the plan as approved by the Planning Secretary.</li> </ul>					
		Assessment:	ACTION:			
S3,	development to the satisfaction of the Planning Secretary. This Plan would relate to the area of the Biodiversity Offset Area required in these conditions. This plan must:  (a) Be prepared by a suitably qualified expert whose appointment has been approved by the Planning Secretary  (b) Be prepared in consultation with BCD and Council  (c) Describe how the implementation of the Tetratheca juncea Translocation Program would be integrated with the Biodiversity Offset Area management.	The Biodiversity Offset Area Management Plan (BOAMP) was most recently updated in April 2021. A number of outstanding actions recommended within the latest Ecological Monitoring Report (included within the 2022 Annual Review) have not been closed out and constitutes a non-compliance against clause (h) of this condition. Additional detail relating to compliance with this CoC is provided in Appendix A1.	KEQ to continue implementing the 2022 BOA Monitoring Actions in accordance with the developed action plan. This action plan will need to be consolidated to include actions from the 2023 monitoring period.  DUE:  As soon as practicable.			
C33	(e) Include detailed performance and completion criteria for evaluating the performance of the conservation, restoration and management of the Biodiversity Offset Area,	Recommendation: ERM notes that KEQ have implemented a "BOA Completion Status and Action Plan" and recommends that the status of actions be reviewed and updated as soon as practicable.	STATUS: Underway – The key action of repairs to fauna fencing surrounding Dam 1 commenced 30 January 2024.			



KEQ	KEQ 2023 IEA Findings and KEQ Response – Project Approval (MP09_0175)					
No	Requirement	2023 IEA Assessment & Recommendation	2023 KEQ Response / Action			
	<ul> <li>(g) Providing for the incorporation of the final rehabilitated landform into the Biodiversity Offset Area and its management.</li> <li>(h) Include a detailed description of the measures that would be implemented over the next 3 years (to be updated for each 3-year period following initial preparation of the plan), including the procedures to be implemented for: <ul> <li>Enhancing the quality of remnant vegetation and fauna habitat</li> <li>Restoring native endemic vegetation and fauna habitat within the parts of the Biodiversity Offset Area that are cleared or modified, including details of the target revegetation communities of the restored landform.</li> <li>Coordinating the relocation of native fauna to protected habitats associated with preclearing fauna surveys.</li> <li>Collecting and propagating seed</li> <li>Maximising the protection and restoration of threatened species, populations and habitats in the Biodiversity Offset Area</li> <li>Maximising fauna movement between the Biodiversity Offset Area and adjacent habitats.</li> <li>Controlling weeds and feral pests</li> <li>Controlling weeds and feral pests</li> <li>Controlling access and providing for management trails; and</li> <li>Bushfire management and implementation of ecologically appropriate bushfire intervals.</li> <li>(i) Include a program to monitor the effectiveness of these measures, and progress against the performance and completion criteria.</li> <li>(j) Identify the potential risks to successful implementation of the Biodiversity Offset program and include a description of the contingency measures that would be implemented to mitigate these risks.</li> <li>(k) Include details of who would be responsible for monitoring, reviewing, and implementing the plan.</li> <li>(l) Include details as to the timing of actions set out in the plan.</li> </ul></li></ul>					
S3, C39	The Applicant must ensure that the storage, handling, and transport of dangerous goods and hazardous materials is conducted in accordance with the relevant Australian Standards, particularly AS1940 and AS1596, and the Dangerous Goods Code.	Assessment:  KEQ have developed a Hazardous Substances (SDS) Register, most recently updated in December 2022, which describes the list of products, quantities, location on site, type of application, SDS issue and expiry dates, and "stability and reactivities" classification.  Based on a review of tank compliance plates, tank brochures and site observations, the auditors are satisfied that dangerous good and hazardous materials are stored in accordance with AS1940, AS1596 ad the Dangerous Goods Code. However, it is noted that neither of the Diesel ASTs are included on the Hazardous Substances Register.  Recommendation:  The hazardous materials storage container should be repaired (to ensure it does not allow rainfall to fill the bund – making it inoperable) to ensure it complies with AS1940.  It is recommended to update the Hazardous Subsidence Register to ensure it includes both diesel ASTs.	<ol> <li>ACTIONS:         <ol> <li>KEQ to review repair options to the storage container and implement as needed.</li> </ol> </li> <li>KEQ to review Hazardous Substances Register and include in KEQ reporting processes to ensure routine updates and revisions are carried out.         </li> <li>DUE:             <ol> <li>As soon as practicable.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>			



KEQ 2	KEQ 2023 IEA Findings and KEQ Response – Project Approval (MP09_0175)					
No	Requirement	2023 IEA Assessment & Recommendation	2023 KEQ Response / Action			
S5, C1	The Applicant must prepare an Environmental Management Strategy for the development to the satisfaction of the Planning Secretary. This strategy must:  (a) Be submitted to the Planning Secretary for approval prior to the commencement of construction activities  (b) Provide the strategic framework for environmental management of the development.  (c) Identify the statutory approvals that apply to the development.  (d) Describe the role, responsibility, authority and accountability of all key personnel involved in the environmental management of the development.  (e) Describe the procedures that would be implemented to:  • Keep the local community and relevant agencies informed about the operation and environmental performance of the development.  • Receive, handle, respond to, and record complaints; • resolve any disputes that may arise during the course of the development;  • respond to any non-compliance; and  • Respond to emergencies; and  (f) Include:  • Copies of any strategies, plans and programs approved under the conditions of this consent; and  • Clear plan depicting all the monitoring required to be carried out under the conditions of this consent.  The Applicant must implement the strategy as approved by the Planning Secretary.	Assessment: The latest version of the Environmental Management Strategy (EMS) was prepared in December 2015. It is noted that there are references to management plans within the EMS which are now superseded, therefore the version is not considered up to date as required by clause (f) of this condition. Additional detail relating to compliance with this CoC is provided in Appendix A1.  Recommendation: It is recommended that a review of the EMS be undertaken and updated where required to ensure it is current. This includes but not limited to updates in statutory requirements and references to updated management plans and monitoring programs.	KEQ to complete a comprehensive review of the Environmental Management Strategy and submit the revised document to the Planning Secretary for approval.  DUE:  28 May 2024 in accordance with Schedule 5, Condition 5(c).  STATUS: Underway – draft revision of the management plan has been completed by IEMA. A further revision is to be assessed in accordance with this recommendation.			
\$5, C2	The Applicant must assess and manage development-related risks to ensure that there are no exceedances of the criteria and/or performance measures in this consent. Any exceedance of these criteria and/or performance measures constitutes a breach of this consent and may be subject to penalty or offence provisions under the EP&A Act or EP&A Regulation. Where any exceedance of these criteria and/or performance measures has occurred, the Applicant must, at the earliest opportunity:  (a) Take all reasonable and feasible measures to ensure that the exceedance ceases and does not recur.  (b) Consider all reasonable and feasible options for remediation (where relevant) and submit a report to the Department describing those options and any preferred remediation measures or other course of action; and  (c) Implement remediation measures as directed by the Planning Secretary.	Assessment:  (a) During the audit period, there have been multiple exceedances of the surface water discharge limits, however it is not clear to the auditors whether feasible measures (including a review of appropriate Management Plans) have been taken to ensure the exceedance ceases.  (b) Example incident reports have been issued to the DPE and reviewed by ERM. Some incident reports have not been issued to the Department immediately after an incident occurred.  (c) There have been no specific remediation measures directed by the Planning Secretary within this audit period.  Recommendation:  Conduct a review of short term adaptive management processes to consider whether temporary solutions such as pumping and storage of water to enable short term provisioning of additional capacity is recommended.  The above recommendations should be incorporated within an update to the Water Management Plan.	RESPONSE:  (a) VGT completed a water management review of the KEQ site on 14 September 2023, which concluded no reasonable short-term measures could be completed without securing further approvals.  Therefore, KEQ disagrees with the non-compliance findings.  ACTION:  KEQ to include these two recommendations into the comprehensive review of the Water Management Plan.  Refer to the response under Schedule 3, Condition 21 for further details.			
S5, C4	By the end of March each year, the Applicant must review the environmental performance of the development to the satisfaction of the Planning Secretary. This review must:  (a) describe the development (including rehabilitation) that was carried out in the previous calendar year, and the development that is proposed to be carried out over the current calendar year.	Assessment:  The Annual Reviews which are applicable within this audit period are for the years 2020, 2021 and 2022. The auditors reviewed the Annual Reviews and confirm each contain the required details as listed within (a) to (f) of this condition.	RESPONSE: KEQ acknowledges the 2022 Annual Review was subject a late submission. KEQ has since implemented improved reporting processes to ensure submission of documents to external stakeholders is completed.			



KEQ 2023 IEA Findings and KEQ Response – Project Approval (MP09_0175)						
No Requirement	2023 IEA Assessment & Recommendation	2023 KEQ Response / Action				
<ul> <li>(b) include a comprehensive review of the monitoring results and complaints records of the development over the previous calendar year, which includes a comparison of these results against: <ul> <li>The relevant statutory requirements, limits or performance measures/criteria;</li> <li>The monitoring results of previous years; and</li> <li>The relevant predictions in the documents referred to in condition 2(d) of Schedule 2 of this consent.</li> </ul> </li> <li>(c) identify any non-compliance over the last year, and describe what actions were (or are being) taken to ensure compliance.</li> <li>(d) identify any trends in the monitoring data over the life of the development;</li> <li>(e) identify any discrepancies between the predicted and actual impacts of the development and analyse the potential cause of any significant discrepancies; and</li> <li>(f) describe the measures that would be implemented over the current calendar year to improve the environmental performance of the development.</li> </ul>	Following consultation with the Planning Secretary and as captured within the 2022 Annual Review and "Post Approval Document Received" email notification, the 2021 Annual Review was identified to have been lodged in October 2022 therefore considered a late submission in accordance with this condition.  Recommendation: It is recommended that all Annual Reviews are submitted to the Planning Secretary by the end of March each year.	STATUS: Completed – no further actions required.				
Within 3 months of:  (a) The submission of an annual review under Condition 4 above.  (b) The submission of an incident report under Condition 7 below.  (c) The submission of an audit report under Condition 9 below; or  (d) Any modification to the conditions of this consent, (unless the conditions require otherwise),  the Applicant must review the strategies, plans, and programs required under this consent, to the satisfaction of the Planning Secretary. Where this review leads to revisions in any such document, then within 4 weeks of the review the revised document must be submitted for the approval of the Planning Secretary.  Note: The purpose of this condition is to ensure that strategies, plans and programs are regularly updated to incorporate any measures recommended to improve environmental performance of the development.	Assessment:  The management plans and strategies which are applicable to this condition are as follows:  Environmental Management Strategy – dated Dec 2015  Air Quality Management Plan – dated May 2019  Blast Management Plan – dated May 2019  Noise Management Plan – dated April 2022  Heritage Management Plan – dated December 2015  Water Management Plan – dated May 2019  Traffic Management Plan – dated December 2015  Tetratheca Juncea Translocation Plan – dated January 2019  Biodiversity Offset Strategy – dated July 2013  Landscape and Rehabilitation Management Plan – dated March 2020  Biodiversity Offset Area Management Plan – dated April 2021  There is no evidence within the respective strategies, plans and programs required under this consent to demonstrate that a review has taken place within the requirements of this condition.  Recommendation:  Ensure that all strategies, plans and programs required under this consent are updated to include a document control or similar so that revision dates are clear to the reader.  Opportunities to improve notification systems are recommended to be reviewed to ensure programs are revised within the timeframes as required under this consent.	RESPONSE: KEQ acknowledges the review, revision, completion of agency consultation and re-submission of statutory management plans requires significant improvement.  In 2023, KEQ has implemented a new document template, document control system (inclusive of Document ID and version numbers, and a consolidated document history table) as well as a new Management Plan register to enable improved timeliness of document updates when revision triggers are reached.  ACTIONS: Refer to constituent management plan conditions for individual actions.  STATUS: Completed – document management system.				



KEQ 20	023 IEA Findings and KEQ Response - Environment Protection Licence (EPL 20611)			
No	Requirement	2023 IEA Assessment	2023 IEA Recommendation	2023 KEQ Response / Action
L1.1, L2.1, L2.2	L1.1 – Except as may be expressly provided in any other condition of this licence, the licensee must comply with section 120 of the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997.  L2.1 – For each monitoring/discharge point or utilisation area specified in the table/s below (by a point number), the concentration of a pollutant discharged at that point, or applied to that area, must not exceed the concentration limits specified for that pollutant in the table.  L2.2 – Where a pH quality limit is specified in the table, the specified percentage of samples must be within the specified ranges.	From the review of the Annual Returns and Surface Water Monitoring Discharge Register it is noted that numerous reoccurring uncontrolled discharges of surface waters that exceed the concentration limits have occurred during the audit period.  According to the Discharge Register, the following discharges were recorded which exceeded the concentration limits defined in EPL 20611 [summary]:  2020 – a total of 11 discharges non-compliant with the EPL concentration limits.  2021 – a total of 18 discharges non-compliant with the EPL concentration limits.  All discharges exceeding the concentration criteria have been reported within the Annual Returns. Heavy rainfall, particularly in 2021 and 2022 resulted in multiple uncontrolled and non-compliant discharges during the audit period. A review of the WMP has not been carried out as required following the multiple exceedances of the discharge limits. It is not clear whether the response procedures described in the WMP are effective in	Conduct a detailed review of the effectiveness of the WMP, inclusive of the TARP to ensure that management measure are appropriate to ensure sufficient storage capacity of the KEQ dams is effectively maintained, such that uncontrolled discharges do not occur.  The review should consider the multiple surface water discharge exceedances which took place in 2020, 2021 and 2022 and the effectiveness of associated preventative measures and procedures, such as scheduling of dam desedimentation works prior to forecast rainfall events.  The review should be conducted by a suitably qualified specialist and recommendations should be reflected within an update to the WMP.  It is recommended that KEQimplement a framework to log all steps and actions to be taken in accordance with the TARP, in particular when a 'Condition Amber' or 'Condition Red' Trigger Response is enacted.	Duplicate recommendations.  Refer to water-related conditions of the Project Approval for further details.
O1.1, O5.1, O5.2	<ul> <li>O1.1 – Licensed activities must be carried out in a competent manner. This includes: <ul> <li>(a) The processing, handling, movement and storage of materials and substances used to carry out the activity; and</li> <li>(b) The treatment, storage, processing, reprocessing, transport and disposal of waste generated by the activity.</li> </ul> </li> <li>O5.1 – All tanks and storage areas for drums containing material that has potential to cause environmental harm must be bunded or have an alternative spill containment system inplace. The bunding and/or spill containment systems must be properly designed, engineered, and constructed to be suitable for the material types and quantities stored therein in accordance with all appropriate standards, including Australian Standards (AS)1940 and AS1596.</li> <li>O5.2 – Bunds must: <ul> <li>(a) have walls and floors constructed of impervious materials;</li> <li>(b) Be of sufficient capacity to contain 110% of the volume of the tank (or 110% volume of the largest tank where a group of tanks are installed);</li> <li>(c) Have floors graded to a collection sump;</li> <li>(d) Not have a drain valve incorporated in the bund structure; or be constructed and operated in a manner that achieves the same environmental outcome.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Lubricants and associated materials were stored within a hazardous material storage container that was no longer structurally sound and open to the weather which results in the bund being filled with water, this considered noncompliant with this condition.  There are two aboveground storage tanks (ASTs) containing diesel onsite, both of which comprise a double walled construction. The primary storage area has been constructed with a drainage system to sump, but the sump was noted to be under-sized and it would offer limited containment in the event of a spill.	The hazardous materials storage container should be repaired (to ensure it does not allow rainfall to fill the bund – making it inoperable) to ensure it complies with AS1940.	Duplicate recommendation.  Refer to the Schedule 3, Condition 39 for further details.



KEQ 20	223 IEA Findings and KEQ Response - Environment Protection Licence (EPL 20611)			
No	Requirement	2023 IEA Assessment	2023 IEA Recommendation	2023 KEQ Response / Action
O3.1, O3.2, O3.3	<ul> <li>O3.1 – The premises must be maintained in a condition which minimises or prevents the emission of dust from the premises.</li> <li>O3.2 - Any activity carried out in or on the premises must be carried out by such practical means as to prevent dust or minimise the emission of dust to the air.</li> <li>O3.3 – Any plant operated in or on the premises must be operated by such practical means to</li> </ul>	Central control room to manage dust suppression sprays at each transfer point and stockpile within crushing and screening plant. Water spray truck actively wets internal roads and product stockpiles. Biggest observable issue during the site visit was noted to be from main drop point to boot – jaw crusher.	As only a single incident of a lowrange exceedance occurred during the audit period, no specific recommendations are made beyond continuing to monitor for exceedances as per the requirement of the EPL.	Duplicate recommendations.  Refer to air quality-related conditions of the Project Approval for further details.
	prevent or minimise dust or other air pollutants.	Across the audit period it was noted that dust was generally managed in accordance with this condition, although an exceedance of the air quality limits stated in the Project Approval, as below:  • Short term PM: One exceedance of the short-term criteria for PM10, occurring on 16 June 2023 with a PM10 monitoring value of 51 μg/m3. This result is considered a low-range exceedance with the PM10 limit of 50 μg/m3 and as this is the only exceedance recorded within this audit period, no further actions are recommended at this stage.	If exceedances reoccur examine additional measure to manage.	
07.1	All acoustic bunds necessary to achieve compliance with the noise limits specified in this licence must be constructed prior to the commencement of quarrying activities and be maintained throughout the operational life of the premises to the height and location described in the Noise Management Plan.	It was noted in the Annual return for the reporting period 26-08-2020 to 25-08-2021 that acoustic bunding was not constructed as required by condition O7.1 as it was deemed to be ineffective.  Enclosures were built around the crushers which meets noise limit protection requirements. The EPL was varied on 02/09/2022, removing the requirement for bunding and amending condition O7.1. The noncompliance noted for this condition was limited to the previous version of the EPL. The site is compliant as at the close of the audit period.	As the original requirement has been removed from the EPL condition, no further action is recommended.	RESPONSE: KEQ disagrees with this finding as the implementation of noise enclosures as part of MOD8 addressed the intent of this condition which was not removed at the time due to administrative oversight.
M2.1, M3.1	<ul> <li>M2.1 – For each monitoring/discharge point or utilisation area specified below (by a point number), the licensee must monitor (by sampling and obtaining results by analysis) the concentration of each pollutant specified in Column 1. The licensee must use the sampling method, units of measure, and sample at the frequency, specified opposite in the other columns.</li> <li>M3.1 – Monitoring for the concentration of a pollutant emitted to the air required to be conducted by this licence must be done in accordance with: <ul> <li>(a) Any methodology which is required by or under the Act to be used for the testing of the concentration of the pollutant; or</li> <li>(b) If no such requirement is imposed by or under the Act, any methodology which a condition of this licence requires to be used for that testing; or</li> <li>(c) If no such requirement is imposed by or under the Act or by a condition of this licence, any methodology approved in writing by the EPA for the purposes of that testing prior to the testing taking place.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Note: The Protection of the Environment Operations (Clean Air) Regulation 2021 requires testing for certain purposes to be conducted in accordance with test methods contained in the publication "Approved Methods for the Sampling and Analysis of Air Pollutants in NSW".</li> </ul>	Monitoring of discharges is outlined in Section 8.1.3 of the WMP. The monitoring frequency is generally conducted in accordance with Condition M2.1.  Examples of air quality monitoring for deposited dust, TSP and PM10 during the audit period were viewed. Sampling from reports reviewed is undertaken in accordance with the stated methods.  A review of the Annual Returns noted 2 separate noncompliances against condition M2.1 & M3.1. The first was reported as being due to a HVAS sample jar being shattered twice (03/06/2021 and 05/07/2021) at the laboratory prior to analysis.  KEQ stated the action taken was to instruct laboratory /field technicians to take greater care when handling sample jars. The second was reported as a failure of HVAS equipment failing during periods of extreme rainstorms	Ensure monitoring is undertaken in accordance with requirements of M2 conditions.	RESPONSE: KEQ acknowledges the finding, but considers no further actions are required.



KEQ 20	23 IEA Findings and KEQ Response - Environment Protection Licence (EPL 20611)			
No	Requirement	2023 IEA Assessment	2023 IEA Recommendation	2023 KEQ Response / Action
		(22/03/2021, 28/03/2021 and 03/04/2021) resulting in scheduled runs not being able to be undertaken. Make up runs were subsequently undertaken to make up for the missed runs.		
		Beyond the above noted issues, air quality monitoring is undertaken as per the methodology prescribed by the NSW Environment Protection Authority (EPA) in their document, Approved Methods for the Modelling and Assessment of Air Pollutants in New South Wales (2022) (Approved Methods).		
M8.1	To assess compliance with the noise limits for this premises attended noise monitoring must be undertaken in accordance with all noise condition and:  a) During a period of normal quarry operations.  b) at each one of the locations listed in the noise limits table of this licence c) Occur quarterly in the reporting period.  d) Occur during each day period as defined in the NSW Noise Policy for Industry.  Note: Quarterly attended noise monitoring must be completed (unless otherwise agreed by the Planning Secretary) to determine whether the development is complying with the relevant conditions of this consent. The frequency of noise monitoring will be reviewed, upon request.	A review of the Quarterly Monitoring Reports, prepared by EMM Consulting, across the audit period identified the methodology includes the requirements of this condition.  Recorded Weather and Operating Conditions as reported stated monitoring was conducted in accordance with the stated criteria a), b) and d).  A review of the Annual Returns noted 1 noncompliance for c) Noise monitoring not undertaken during the 26-08-2020 to 25-08-2021 reporting period. KEQ stated due to statistically wet year, monitoring under the required meteorological conditions proved difficult and therefore the last quarter monitoring was not conducted in time.  KEQ stated in response to the failure to monitor they were amending the process of planning for quarterly monitoring to account for weather impacts. It is noted that the	Following the incident KEQ amended quarterly monitoring planning and the failure to conduct quarterly monitoring has not reoccurred.  Therefore, no further action is recommended.	RESPONSE: KEQ acknowledges the finding, but considers no further actions are required.
R1.5	The Annual Return for the reporting period must be supplied to the EPA via eConnect EPA or by registered post not later than 60 days after the end of each reporting period or in the case of a transferring licence not later than 60 days after the date the transfer was granted (the 'due date').	incident has not reoccurred during the audit period.  A review of the submission dates of the Annual Returns noted that the Annual Return for the reporting period 26-08-21 to 25-08-2022 was submitted on 26-10-2022, 2 days after the due date of 24-10-2022.  All other Annual returns for the audit period were noted to have been submitted within the required time period.	Ensure Annual Returns are submitted within the 60 days and the due date as notified by the EPA.	RESPONSE: KEQ acknowledges the 2021/22 Annual Return was subject a late submission. KEQ has since implemented improved reporting processes to ensure submission of documents to external stakeholders is completed.
				STATUS: Completed – no further actions required.